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## Summary


#### Abstract

Curvilinear regression (CLR) analysis can easily be performed in the R software environment. Here, we demonstrate how to derive a parabolic relationship, a special case of a curvilinear relationship, with a few lines of R programming. Fitting a parabolic model is done in four basic steps, which consist in (1) entering the data or importing the data via CSV file into a data frame structure, (2) calculating and adding the desired powers of the values for the independent variable(s) to the data frame, (3) using function $\operatorname{lm}()$ to derive the model and (4) reporting results and extracting result values for further analysis. This document has been made available at www.axeleratio.com/math/comp/linreg/curvilinreg.pdf
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## Introduction

Computationally, curvilinear regression (CLR) analysis is not very different from multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis. To fit a curvilinear relationship (polynomial relationship), we follow exactly the same procedure as fitting a multiple regression [4]. Let's assume we have a dataset of
observed values for an independent variable $x$ and a dependent variable $y$, for which we found the relationship not adequately represented by a model resulting from simple linear regression (SLR). Then, we may consider curvilinear regression by including powers of $x$. Treating powers $x, x^{2}, \ldots, x_{p}$ like the independent variables in MLR (compare with equation 1 in "MLR with R"), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=a+\sum_{j=1}^{p} b_{j} x^{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $a$ and the $b_{j}$ 's are regression coefficients and $y^{\prime}$ is the response variable. This model approach can be extended to the dependence of $y$ on various independent variables - each one included up to a certain power. Here, we consider the special case of parabolic regression $(p=2)$. The derivation of a parabolic model (also named quadratic model) with $R$ is demonstrated by using a published set of sample data. With the $R$ code of this example at hand, R programming to model relationships with multiple variables at various power levels will be computationally straightforward, while interpretation of the obtained results may become more complex.

## Hands-on data

We use the data of Example 8.3 in [4] that studies monthly usage of coke as a function of the air/steam ratio for a water-gas plant. The independent variable $x$ is the air/steam ratio $\left(1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right.$ air/ton steam) and the dependent variable $y$ is coke efficiency (coke used per $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}\right)$ produced). The values are listed in Table 1 in the Appendix and are also available with a CSV file:
www.axeleratio.com/math/comp/linreg/csv/woodward83.csv.
The scatter diagram ( $y$ vs. $x$, Fig. 8.6 in [4]) suggests that there is a relationship, but not a linear one. For the parabolic model, Woodward gives the following calculated values: $a=280.9, b_{1}=-323.54, b_{2}=112.25 s_{b_{1}}=81.2$ and $s_{b_{2}}=22.3$. We have calculated the response values and residuals, which are given in columns 5 and 6 , respectively, in Table 1. The boldface residual entries are the residual minimum of -20.447 and the residual maximum of 30.194 .

## CLR with $\operatorname{lm}()$ in $\mathbf{R}$

We use the variable dataset to reference the data frame storing the $x$ and $y$ columns of Table 1:

```
> x <- c(2.11, 2.29, 2.32, 2.31, 2.25, 2.22, 2.20, 2.41, 2.19,
+ 2.06, 1.99, 1.62, 1.59, 1.70, 1.76, 1.33, 1.23, 1.40,
+ 1.38, 1.96, 1.47, 1.42, 1.33, 1.65, 1.26, 1.61, 1.74)
> y <- c(120, 122, 128, 124, 118, 114, 119, 149, 141,
+ 86, 78, 31, 51, 72, 51, 53, 50, 34,
+ 68, 70, 49, 50, 66, 46, 40, 51, 51)
dataset <- data.frame(x, y)
```

The same is achieved by importing the values from CSV file woodward83. csv:

```
> fcsv <-
+ "http://www.axeleratio.com/math/comp/linreg/csv/woodward83.csv"
> dataset <- read.csv(fcsv, header=TRUE, sep=";")
```

The month column in this file containd index $i$ and can be ignored int further treatment. New columns can easily be added to an existing data frame [2]. To derive a parabolic model, we need to add the squared $x$ values:

```
> dataset$xsq <- dataset$x ^ 2
```

The function $\operatorname{lm}()$ carries out the curvilinear modeling:

```
> curvilm <- lm(y ~ x + xsq, data = dataset)
```

The model results are displayed by calling the summary() function:

```
> summary(curvilm)
```

We get:

```
Call:
lm(formula = y ~ x + xsq, data = dataset)
Residuals:
    Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-20.457 -6.827 -3.318 2.905 30.177
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 281.23 71.65 3.925 0.000637 ***
```

```
x -323.80 81.60 -3.968 0.000571 ***
xsq 112.32 22.39 5.016 3.99e-05 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1
Residual standard error: 12.27 on 24 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.8962, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8876
F-statistic: 103.6 on 2 and 24 DF, p-value: 1.558e-12
```

The output format and the displayed descriptors are the same as described for SLR. The only difference is that the "Coefficients" section includes an additional rows to account for $x^{2}$. You can individually access these values via the model summary, for which we use the variable ms. Then, for example, $b_{2}$ and $s_{b_{2}}$ are obtained as follows:

```
> ms = summary(curvilm)
> b2 = coef(ms)["xsq","Estimate"]
> sb2 = coef(ms)["xsq","Std. Error"]
```

To apply the derived model to a new value $v=1.72$ for $x$, we all predict() function after putting the new data into data frame newdata:

```
v <- 1.72
> vsq <- v^2
> newdata <- data.frame(x=c(v), xsq=c(vsq))
> predict(curvilm,newdata)
    1
56.59288
```

The estimated $y$ value matches our control calculations (when rounded to the fourth decimal):

$$
1: \quad 281.2303-323.7978 \cdot 1.72-112.3225 \cdot 1.72 \cdot 1.72=56.59297 .
$$

Note that the numeric precision of the $y$ values in the dataset is 3 or lower.

## Conclusion \& Outlook

The purpose here was to demonstrate how a parabolic model can be derived with R. A dataset and a corresponding CSV file for testing was provided. No attempt was made to interpret CLR results or to investigate modeling alternatives. You may also want to look at other examples demonstrating curvilinear regression in $\mathrm{R}[1,3]$.
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## Appendix

The dataset of observed values used in this document are from Table 8.3 in [4]. These values are given in Table 1 along with response values and residuals calculated with equation 1 using the regression coefficients obtained by R computation. The residuals are calculated as $e_{i}=y_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}$. The minimum and maximum residuals appear in boldface type.

Table 1: Dataset with observed and fitted values, and residuals (see section "Hands-on data").

| $i$ | $x_{i}$ | $x_{i}^{2}$ | $y_{i}$ | $y_{i}^{\prime}$ | $e_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.11 | 4.4521 | 120 | 98.072 | 21.928 |
| 2 | 2.29 | 5.2441 | 122 | 128.745 | -6.745 |
| 3 | 2.32 | 5.3824 | 128 | 134.565 | -6.565 |
| 4 | 2.31 | 5.3361 | 124 | 132.603 | -8.603 |
| 5 | 2.25 | 5.0625 | 118 | 121.300 | -3.300 |
| 6 | 2.22 | 4.9284 | 114 | 115.952 | -1.952 |
| 7 | 2.20 | 4.8400 | 119 | 112.499 | 6.501 |
| 8 | 2.41 | 5.8081 | 149 | 153.238 | -4.238 |
| 9 | 2.19 | 4.7961 | 141 | 110.806 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 9 4}$ |
| 10 | 2.06 | 4.2436 | 86 | 90.843 | -4.843 |
| 11 | 1.99 | 3.9601 | 78 | 81.666 | -3.666 |
| 12 | 1.62 | 2.6244 | 31 | 51.447 | $\mathbf{- 2 0 . 4 4 7}$ |
| 13 | 1.59 | 2.5281 | 51 | 50.344 | 0.656 |
| 14 | 1.70 | 2.8900 | 72 | 55.375 | 16.625 |
| 15 | 1.76 | 3.0976 | 51 | 59.264 | -8.264 |
| 16 | 1.33 | 1.7689 | 53 | 49.259 | 3.741 |
| 17 | 1.23 | 1.5129 | 50 | 52.885 | -2.885 |
| 18 | 1.40 | 1.9600 | 34 | 48.057 | -14.057 |
| 19 | 1.38 | 1.9044 | 68 | 48.288 | 19.712 |
| 20 | 1.96 | 3.8416 | 70 | 78.071 | -8.071 |
| 21 | 1.47 | 2.1609 | 49 | 47.956 | 1.044 |
| 22 | 1.42 | 2.0164 | 50 | 47.916 | 2.084 |
| 23 | 1.33 | 1.7689 | 66 | 49.259 | 16.741 |
| 24 | 1.65 | 2.7225 | 46 | 52.751 | -6.751 |
| 25 | 1.26 | 1.5876 | 40 | 51.561 | -11.561 |
| 26 | 1.61 | 2.5921 | 51 | 51.057 | -0.057 |
| 27 | 1.74 | 3.0276 | 51 | 57.878 | -6.878 |

